IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.10697 OF 2004

Smt.A. Radha & Anr. : Petitioners

V/s.

State Bank of India : Respondent

...

Mr.Lalit S. Jain for the petitioners.

Mr.Santosh Pawar for the respondent.

...

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.676 OF 2005

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.10697 OF 2004

State Bank of India : Applicant

(Orig. Respondent)

V/s.

Smt.A.Radha & Anr. : Respondents

(Orig. Petitioner)

...

Mr.Santosh Pawar for the applicant.

Mr.Lalit S. Jain for the respondents.

...

CORAM : S.A. BOBDE, J.

June 24, 2005.

P.C.:

1. This petition is directed against an interim order dated 20.12.2004 passed by the Debts Recovery Tribunal declining to entertain the application of the petitioner for details regarding the invoices encashed by the petitioner. In the petition, the respondent-Bank made the following statement on 29.12.2004:-

> "Now, the Learned Advocate for the respondent bank submitted that they are ready to furnish the statement from the day one when credit facility/was sanctioned to the present petitioner, in respect of the suit pending before DRT. If the bank is entitled to recover any charges as per rules for supply of such copies, they are obviously entitled to do so. Such copies to be furnished tomorrow."

2. Now the contention of the Bank before this Court in the above Civil Application is that they have not been able to furnish the statement and they have given the reasons for the same before the DRT.

3. Mr.Jain, learned counsel for the petitioners, states that the DRT will take into account all relevant circumstances. As the DRT has reserved the matter for final orders, nothing survives in the Petition or the Civil Application.

4. The petition is, therefore, disposed of along with the Civil Application.

S.A. BOBDE, J.